

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

|  |
|--|
| USDC SDNY<br>DOCUMENT<br>ELECTRONICALLY FILED<br>DOC #:<br>DATE FILED: 4/30/2020 |
|--|

|   |   |                  |
|---|---|------------------|
| -----   | X |                  |
| JULIAN ANTONIO LANTIGUA CABA,                         | : |                  |
| <i>individually and on behalf of others similarly</i> | : |                  |
| <i>situated,</i>                                      | : |                  |
|   | : |                  |
| Plaintiff,  | : | 19-CV-7302 (VEC) |
|   | : |                  |
| -against-   | : | <u>ORDER</u>     |
|   | : |                  |
| ELEGANT LINEN OF NY INC (D/B/A                        | : |                  |
| BARGAIN STORE), HAISAM I. ABADI,                      | : |                  |
| and ASHI DOE,   | : |                  |
|   | : |                  |
| Defendants.   | : |                  |
| -----   | X |                  |

VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:

WHEREAS on April 29, 2020 (Dkt. 31), the Court was notified that through mediation, an agreement was reached on all issues; and

WHEREAS this case involves claims brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”);

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties may not dismiss this action with prejudice unless the settlement agreement has been approved by either the Court or the Department of Labor (DOL). *See Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199, 206 (2d Cir. 2015). Accordingly, to the extent the parties wish to dismiss this action with prejudice, they must either file a joint letter motion requesting that the Court approve the settlement agreement or, alternatively, provide documentation of the approval by DOL. Any letter motion, along with the settlement agreement, must be filed on the public docket by **May 30, 2020**. The letter motion must explain why the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable and should discuss, at a minimum, the following factors:

(1) the plaintiff's range of possible recovery; (2) the extent to which "the settlement will enable the parties to avoid anticipated burdens and expenses in establishing their respective claims and defenses"; (3) the seriousness of the litigation risks faced by the parties; (4) whether "the settlement agreement is the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel"; and (5) the possibility of fraud or collusion.

*Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc.*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) (quoting *Medley v. Am. Cancer Soc.*, No. 10-CV-3214(BSJ), 2010 WL 3000028, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. July 23, 2010)). The letter must also address whether there is a *bona fide* dispute as to the number of hours worked or the amount of compensation due and how much of the proposed settlement plaintiff's attorney shall be seeking as fees. *See Cheeks*, 796 F.3d at 206. Absent special circumstances, the Court will not approve any settlement agreement that is filed under seal or in redacted form. *See id.*


The Second Circuit has left open for future decision whether an FLSA case may be settled without Court or DOL approval and dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A). *See id.* at 201 n.2 ("[W]e leave for another day the question of whether parties may settle [FLSA] cases without court approval . . . by entering into a Rule 41(a)(1)(A) stipulation without prejudice."). If the parties wish to proceed without Court or DOL approval, they must submit a stipulation pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A). Any such stipulation must be filed on the public docket within 30 days and must be accompanied by an affirmation from Plaintiff's counsel (1) stating that the Plaintiff(s) have been clearly advised that the settlement of this case does not preclude them from filing another lawsuit against the same Defendant(s) and (2) affirming that the settlement agreement does not contain a release of the Defendant(s). *See, e.g., Elfenbein v. Gulf & W. Indus., Inc.*, 590 F.2d 445, 449 (2d Cir. 1978) (*per curiam*) ("[A] dismissal without prejudice permits a new action (assuming the statute of limitations has not run) without regard to Res judicata principles."

(quoting *Rinieri v. News Syndicate Co.*, 395 F.2d 818, 821 (2d Cir. 1967)). The parties are warned that this option runs the risk that the case may be reopened in the future.

If no letter or stipulation is filed by **May 30, 2020**, a telephone conference shall be held on **June 5, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.**

**SO ORDERED.**

**Date: April 30, 2020**  
**New York, NY**

 \_\_\_\_\_  
**VALERIE CAPRONI**  
**United States District Judge**